

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/009,704	Applicant(s) WALKER ET AL.	
	Examiner Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1743	

All Participants:

(1) Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.

(2) Robert L. Smith:

Status of Application: _____

(3) Dwight S. Walker, Ph.D.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 31 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

established in the previous Office action

Claims discussed:

pending

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Yelena Gakh
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the Examiner initiated interview in order to clarify the subject matter of the disclosure. It appears that the terminology used in the application is not a conventional terminology of the art. The Applicants will submit a reference, which justifies the usage of a term "absorption energy" instead of "intensity" for IR spectra..